RECORD AND BRIEFS

Nos. 16-1436 & 16-1540

FILED
SEP 18 2017
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT
OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL., *PETITIONERS*
v.
INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE ASSISTANCE PROJECT, ET AL.

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT
OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL., *PETITIONERS*
v.
STATE OF HAWAI'I, ET AL.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fourth and Ninth Circuits

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE Muslim Justice League, Muslim Public Affairs Council, and Council on American-Islamic Relations, California SUPPORTING RESPONDENTS AND AFFIRMANCE

BENJAMIN G. SHATZ
Counsel of Record
AMY B. BRIGGS
JOHN W. MCGUINNESS
SIRENA P. CASTILLO
MATTHEW D. BOTTOMLY
OLUFUNMILAYO SHOWOLE
AND KETAKEE R. KANE

MANATT, PHELPS
& PHILLIPS, LLP
11355 West Olympic Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064
BShatz@Manatt.com
(310) 312-4000

Counsel for Amici Muslim Justice League; Muslim Public Affairs Council; and Council on American-Islamic Relations, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE 1

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 4

ARGUMENT:

The EO–2 harms Muslims throughout the country, violates the establishment clause, and was properly enjoined nationwide 5

I. The EO–2's effect on Muslims nationwide constitutes injury under the Establishment Clause 5

A. The Muslim Ban unlawfully and injuriously restrains travel among Muslim communities 10

1. The Muslim Ban has had a chilling effect on personal activities 10

2. The Muslim Ban has interfered with professional activities 13

3. The Muslim Ban has also impeded academic activities 16

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

B. The Muslim Ban promotes harmful stereotypes about Muslims 20

C. In targeting Muslims, the Muslim Ban has caused psychological—and arguably physical—harm 27

II. Despite attempts to sanitize its text, the clear intent of the Muslim Ban is to disfavor and burden Muslims 32

III. The Muslim community may still face impermissibly invasive interrogations at the border even after the Muslim ban portion of the EO–2 is lifted 34

IV. The EO–2's focus on Muslims and Muslim-majority countries is divorced from evidence, ill-conceived, and ill-advised 38

CONCLUSION 43

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Aziz v. *Trump*,
2017 WL 580855 (E.D. Va. 2017) 6, 7, 8

Giovani Carandola, Ltd. v. *Bason*,
303 F. 3d 507 (CA4 2002) 6

Hawai'i v. *Trump*,
2017 WL 1011673 (D Haw. 2017) 6, 8, 9

IRAP v. *Trump*,
2017 WL 1018235 (D Md. 2017)..... 6, 8

IRAP v. *Trump*,
857 F. 3d 554 (CA4 2017) .....passim

Larson v. *Valente*,
456 U. S. 228 (1982).....5

Moss v. *Spartanburg Cty. Sch. Dist. Seven*,
683 F. 3d 599 (CA4 2012) 8, 20

Sarsour v. *Trump*,
2017 WL 1113305 (ED Va. 2017)..... 6, 7, 25

Suhre v. *Haywood County*,
131 F. 3d 1083 (CA4 1997) 5, 6

Washington v. *Trump*,
847 F. 3d 1151 (CA9 2017) 6, 7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

OTHER AUTHORITIES

@BannedGrandmas, Instagram (July 1, 2017) 13

@BannedGrandmas, Instagram (July 2, 2017) 12, 13

@BannedGrandmas, Instagram (June 30, 2017) 13

Barry-Jester, *Trump's new travel ban could affect doctors, especially in the Rust Belt and Appalachia*, FiveThirtyEight (Mar. 6, 2017) 15, 16

Bergen, *Terrorism in America after 9/11*, New America Foundation 40

Berman, *He yelled 'Get out of my country,' witnesses say, and then shot 2 men from India, killing one*, Wash. Post (Feb. 24, 2017) 29

Bernstein, *MAX attack unfolded quickly: extremist cut three in neck, police say*, The Oregonian/OregonLive (Jun. 2, 2017) 29, 30

Beutel, *Data on post-9/11 terrorism in the United States*, Muslim Public Affairs Council (June 2012) 42

Beydoun, *Acting Muslim*, 53 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. (forthcoming 2017) 31, 42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Beydoun, *Being a Muslim under Trump is risky. That's why many are hiding their identity*, The Guardian (Mar. 30, 2017) 24, 25

Bothwell, *International students less likely to accept offers in Trump's US*, Times Higher Education (July 6, 2017)..... 19

Caiola, *Travel ban, targeting of mosques trigger mental health concerns among California Muslims*, Sacramento Bee (Feb. 22, 2017)..... 17

Capecchi, *Where the Immigration Ban Hits Home*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 31, 2017)..... 11, 12, 28

Caplan-Bricker, *Donald Trump plans to track "honor killings" even as he slashes violence against women grants*, Slate (Jan. 25, 2017) 23

Catanese, *Trump promises 'extreme vetting' in terror speech*, Inside Higher Ed (Aug. 15, 2016)..... 35

Chalabi, *Support for Trump travel ban in line with anti-Muslim attitudes in America*, The Guardian (Feb. 2, 2017)..... 20, 21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Cunningham, *New opposition emerges as Trump pushes for travel ban*, Chicago Tribune (Jun. 4, 2017) 41, 42

Fariz, *Torrance Islamic community shaken by travel ban*, Easy Reader News (Feb. 25, 2017)..... 18, 27

Gostin, *Presidential immigration policies endangering health and well-being?* JAMA (Mar. 23, 2017) 27

Hauslohner, *Imam: There's an atmosphere of intolerance that says, 'That's okay, that's acceptable now,'* Wash. Post (Mar. 10, 2017) 22, 31

Healy, *Love, interrupted: travel ban separates couples*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 9, 2017)..... 12

Helba, *Report on exploratory study into honor violence measurement methods*, Justice Dep't (May 2015)..... 41

Hoffman, *Meet the people posting photos of "Banned Grandmas" to protest the travel ban*, TIME Magazine (July 6, 2017)..... 12

Human Rights Watch, *US: Trump's new refugee order renews old harms* (Mar. 6, 2017)..... 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Ingraham, *American mosques—and American Muslims—are being targeted for hate like never before*, Wash. Post. (Aug. 8, 2017) 30

Lartey, *"Not about religion": how Trump officials have attempted a travel ban rebrand*, The Guardian (Mar. 15, 2017) 32

Lee, *'There is too much anger out there.' Bombing of a Minnesota mosque leaves Muslims concerned*, L.A. Times (Aug. 5, 2017) 30

Letter from Access Now to Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein, High Commissioner for Human Rights, et al. (Feb. 16, 2017) 37

McCrummen, *Love Thy Neighbor? When a Muslim doctor arrived in a rural Midwestern town, "it felt right." But that feeling began to change after the election of Donald Trump*, Wash. Post (July 1, 2017) 16

McNeil Jr., *Trump's travel ban, aimed at terrorists, has blocked doctors*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 6, 2017) 11, 12, 25, 28

Mourad, *Muslims at haj are worried about Trump's policies towards them*, Reuters (Sept. 2, 2017) 31, 32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Munshi, *Muslim Americans express anxiety over Trump travel ban*, Financial Times (Feb. 2, 2017) 26

The N.Y. Times Editorial Board, *Muslim ban lite*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 7, 2017) 26, 27

Nakamura, *Blame game: Trump casts immigrants as dangerous criminals, but the evidence shows otherwise*, Wash. Post (Mar. 24, 2017) 24

Nowrasteh, *Little national security benefit to Trump's Executive Order on immigration*, CATO at Liberty (Jan. 25, 2017) 40, 41

Nowrasteh, *Terrorism and immigration: a risk analysis*, CATO at Liberty (Sept. 13, 2016) 40

Pennington, *Immigration puts Middle Eastern students off studying in US*, The National (Aug. 16, 2017) 19

Pew Research Center, *A new estimate of the U.S. Muslim population* (Jan. 6, 2016) 38, 39

Pew Research Center, *The religious affiliation of U.S. immigrants: majority Christian, rising share of other faiths* (May 17, 2013) 39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Pilkington, *Trump travel crackdown turns "wedding celebration into a family separation,"* The Guardian (Apr. 14, 2017) 11

Rappeport, *Donald Trump repeats call to inspect mosques for signs of terrorism,* N.Y. Times (Nov. 16, 2015) 21

Redden, *Fragile Status: Two students from Libya consider the executive order banning entry to the U.S. for them and their compatriots,* Inside Higher Ed (Feb. 1, 2017) 18

Rukavina, *Canadian woman turned away from U.S. border after questions about religion, Trump,* CBC News (Feb. 10, 2017) 35, 36

Santucci, *Donald Trump proposes 'extreme vetting' for immigrants, with ideological screening test,* ABC News (Aug. 15, 2016) 34

Saul, *After visa ban, hints of hidden tension on Mississippi campus,* N.Y. Times (Feb. 2, 2017) 17

Shear, *Despite Trump's 'ough talk on travel ban, few changes to vetting,* N.Y. Times (June 11, 2017) 42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Shear, *Trump Administration Orders Tougher Screening of Visa Applicants*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 23, 2017) 35

Siddiqui, *At mosque Obama visited, fear replaces hope as new Trump travel ban looms*, The Guardian (Mar. 14, 2017) 13, 26, 28, 29

Srikantiah, *The new travel ban, national security, and immigration*, Stanford Law School Blogs (Feb. 1, 2017) 14, 15

Svuluga, *'They're afraid to come': University leaders worry Trump policies will deter international scholars*, Wash. Post (Mar. 24, 2017) 17

Toosi, *Visas to Muslim-majority countries down 20 percent*, Politico (May 25, 2017) 38

Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Feb. 11, 2017, 4:12 AM) 33

Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (June 5, 2017, 3:37 AM) 33

Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (June 5, 2017, 6:20 PM) 33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Trump, *Donald J. Trump Statement on Preventing Muslim Immigration*, Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. (Dec. 7, 2015) 20

Trump, *Executive Order Protecting The Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into The United States*, The White House Office of the Press Secretary (Mar. 6, 2017) 23, 34

United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner, *US travel ban: 'New policy breaches Washington's human rights obligations,' – UN experts* (Feb. 1, 2017) 28

White, *Read Donald Trump's Ohio speech on immigration and terrorism*, TIME Magazine (Aug. 15, 2016) 34, 35

Wilinson, *Iranian Americans join human rights groups in protesting new ban*, L.A. Times (Mar. 6, 2017) 22

Zapotosky, *Federal judge in Hawaii freezes President Trump's new entry ban*, Wash. Post (Mar. 16, 2017) 24, 32, 33

Zapotosky, *Revised executive order bans travelers from six Muslim-majority countries from getting new visas*, Wash. Post (Mar. 6, 2017) 39, 40

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE

The Muslim Justice League, Muslim Public Affairs Council, and Council on American-Islamic Relations, California, submit this brief in support of Respondents International Refugee Assistance Project (IRAP), et al. and the State of Hawai'i, et al.[1]

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici Curiae are organizations that advocate for the dignity and fair treatment of the Muslim community throughout the United States. Amici can provide unique and important insights regarding the impact of the March 6, 2017 Executive Order 13780 (the "EO-2" or "Muslim Ban") and how it unfairly subjects Muslims, persons from Muslim-majority countries, and even those simply perceived as Muslim, to unwarranted harassment and religious discrimination by government officials. Moreover, Amici can address the effects and public perceptions caused by an executive order that targets the Muslim community under the guise of national

[1] Counsel for amici authored this brief in whole, and no other person or entity other than amici, its members, or counsel made monetary contributions for the preparation or submission of this brief. Amici's counsel notified counsel for the parties of their intent to file this amicus brief and received their consent.

security, including the stigmatization of Muslims and Muslim communities, increased discrimination, and discouragement of Muslims and persons from Muslim-majority countries—both U.S. citizens and non-citizens alike—from fully and freely participating in American society for fear of reprisal, directly undermining Amici's work.

This case addresses the legality of an executive order that targets and restricts entry of people from six designated countries and refugees into the United States. Amici support the arguments that EO–2 is unconstitutional, and submit this brief to address the deleterious effects of targeting members, or perceived members, of a religious community via an order that was "motivated" by a "desire to exclude Muslims from the United States."[2] Accordingly, Amici have a substantial interest in the proper resolution of the issues this case presents.

The **Muslim Justice League (MJL)** is an independent nonprofit organization advocating for the protection of human and civil rights that are threatened under national security pretexts, through community education and organizing, and legal and policy advocacy. In the course of providing educational workshops, MJL has fielded increased questions since the

[2] *IRAP* v. *Trump*, 857 F. 3d 554, 595 (CA4 2017).

announcement of the Muslim Ban from concerned community members regarding their fears about the consequences of traveling to see family or pursue educational, professional, or religious objectives. MJL participated as amicus curiae in *Ashcroft* v. *Abbasi* (U.S. 2017) challenging government policies and practices that targeted people based on their race, religion, ethnicity, or national origin.

The **Muslim Public Affairs Council (MPAC)** is a community-based public affairs nonprofit organization working for the integration of Muslims into American society. MPAC aims to increase the public understanding of Islam and improve policies that affect American Muslims by engaging our government, media, and communities. MPAC's view is that America is enriched by the vital contributions of American Muslims. MPAC works diligently to offer the public a portrayal that goes beyond stereotypes and shows that Muslims are part of a vibrant American pluralism. MPAC participated as amicus curiae in cases concerning civil liberties (*Boumediene* v. *Bush* and *al Odah* v. *U.S.* (U.S. 2007)), immigration (*Arizona* v. *U.S.* (U.S. 2012)), and religious liberties (*Holt* v. *Arkansas Dept. of Correction* (U.S. 2014)).

The **Council on American-Islamic Relations, California (CAIR-CA)**, is a chapter of the nation's largest American

Muslim civil rights and advocacy organization. CAIR-CA's mission is to enhance the understanding of Islam, encourage dialogue, protect civil liberties, empower American Muslims, and build coalitions that promote justice and mutual understanding. Through its four offices, CAIR-CA serves California's estimated one million American Muslims by providing direct legal services to victims of discrimination, working with the media, facilitating community education, and engaging in policy advocacy to advance civil rights and civic engagement.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The EO–2 focuses exclusively on six Muslim-majority countries by banning the entry of their nationals and tying the alleged "risks" of their nationals' entry to the need for enhanced vetting procedures, thus violating the Establishment Clause by creating a disfavored religion in the United States. The injuries the EO–2 inflicts apply to Muslim communities across the country, disrupting personal, professional, and academic activities and unfairly and irreparably stigmatizing Muslims. Because the EO–2 is nothing more than religious intolerance masquerading as an attempt to address (unfounded) security concerns, the judgments of the courts of appeals should be affirmed.

ARGUMENT: THE EO–2 HARMS MUSLIMS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, VIOLATES THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE, AND WAS PROPERLY ENJOINED.

I. The EO–2's effect on Muslims in the United States constitutes injury under the Establishment Clause.

"[T]he clearest command of the Establishment Clause is that one religious denomination cannot be officially preferred over another." *Larson* v. *Valente*, 456 U. S. 228, 244 (1982). By imposing a ban on travel from six Muslim-majority countries and proposing an "extreme vetting" program targeting Muslims and travelers from Muslim-majority countries long after the Muslim Ban expires, the President has violated this "clearest command" and created a system officially disfavoring Muslims. Multiple courts evaluating challenges to the EO–2 have found that the harms caused by the Muslim Ban are direct, concrete injuries under the Establishment Clause.[3] These injuries include:

---

[3] *See e.g.*, *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 584 (quoting *Suhre* v. *Haywood County*, 131 F. 3d 1083, 1086 (CA4 1997)) (finding Plaintiff "Doe #1 has had 'personal contact with the alleged establishment of religion'" due to injuries

- ***Prolonged separation of family members***, an "imminent, sufficiently

---

caused by prolonged separation from his wife, an Iranian national, and the alleged state-sanctioned message that foreign-born Muslims, like Doe #1, are political outsiders); *Washington* v. *Trump*, 847 F. 3d 1151, 1168-1169 (CA9 2017) ("When the [E.O.] was in effect, the States contend that the travel prohibitions harmed the States' university employees and students, separated families, and stranded the States' residents abroad. These [deprivations of constitutional rights] are substantial injuries and even irreparable harms."); *Sarsour* v. *Trump*, 2017 WL 1113305, *14 (ED Va. 2017) ("The Fourth Circuit has held that, as a matter of law, 'loss of First Amendment rights, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury.'") (citing *Giovani Carandola, Ltd.* v. *Bason*, 303 F. 3d 507, 520-521 (CA4 2002)); *IRAP* v. *Trump*, 2017 WL 1018235, *16-17 (D Md. 2017) ("'[W]hen an Establishment Clause violation is alleged, infringement occurs the moment the government action takes place.' ... The Court accordingly finds that Plaintiffs have established a likelihood of irreparable harm when the Second Executive Order takes effect."); *see also Aziz* v. *Trump*, 2017 WL 580855, *10 (ED Va. 2017); *Hawai'i* v. *Trump*, 2017 WL 1011673, *16 (D Haw. 2017).

'real' and concrete" injury that causes "a personal and 'particularized'" harm.[4]

- ***The loss of First Amendment freedoms***, causing unquestionable irreparable harm from the moment the government action took place.[5]
- ***Significant restraint of travel and freedom of movement***, causing, e.g., the separation of families,[6] the cancellation of personal and professional travel plans abroad or visits from family or colleagues to America,[7] and disruption of academic activities.[8]
- ***The promotion of harmful stereotypes of Muslims***, causing deep sadness that both the original and revised executive order convey the message that a broad travel ban is "needed" to prevent people from certain Muslim countries from entering the United States, and further sending an "alleged state-sanctioned

---

[4] *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 583-84.

[5] *Id.*

[6] *Id.*, at 606 (Keenan, J., concurring); *Washington*, 847 F. 3d at 1169.

[7] *Aziz*, 2017 WL 580855, *2-3, *10; *Washington*, 847 F. 3d at 1159.

[8] *Aziz*, 2017 WL 580855, *2; *Sarsour*, 2017 WL 1113305, *5.

message that foreign-born Muslims" are "'outsiders, not full members of the political community.'"[9]

- ***Psychological harm and mental stress***, including "significant fear, anxiety and insecurity" due to the Muslim Ban and underlying "anti-Muslim attitudes" and "official anti-Muslim sentiment"[10]; feelings of isolation and disparagement, worries about safety and belonging in this country, and concerns about "the disfavoring of Islam"[11]; "anxiety, confusion, and distress" due to the uncertainty introduced by the Muslim Ban, and "an uptick in students, employees, and faculty using [university] counseling services"[12]; being affected by the knowledge that the federal government would discriminate against their ethnicity and religion; "psychological harm that flows from confronting official action preferring or disfavoring a

---

[9] *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 584 (citing *Moss* v. *Spartanburg Cty. Sch. Dist. Seven*, 683 F. 3d 599, 607 (CA4 2012)); *Hawai'i*, 2017 WL 1011673, *10.

[10] *IRAP*, 2017 WL 1018235, *7-8.

[11] *Id.*; *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 584-585.

[12] *Aziz*, 2017 WL 580855, *3.

> particular religion"[13]; the "direct, painful effects" of a message of "religious condemnations"[14]; feeling targeted as Muslim because of their religious views and national origin, and concern about not being able to associate as freely as those of other faiths; and hurt, confusion, sadness and stigma generally.[15]

Further injuries to refugee resettlement agencies include the diversion of significant resources to assist clients ensnared by the Muslim Ban and direct financial injury due to the reduction of refugee admissions into the United States.[16]

With the EO–2, the Administration chose to use the bluntest of tools to tackle a problem that does not exist—there was no immediate security need that justified the ban. Rather, American Muslims have been targeted because of their faith, and the only common thread between those affected is their religion. While the President is provided wide discretion in administering the immigration laws, the policies and directives must be Constitutional. The Administration's intentional disfavoring of one religion is not.

---

[13] *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 585.

[14] *Id.*

[15] *Hawai'i*, 2017 WL 1011673, *10.

[16] *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 578.

A. The Muslim Ban unlawfully and injuriously restrains travel among Muslim communities.

The EO–2's harmful impact has been far-reaching—upending the personal, professional, and academic activities of countless Muslim individuals and communities nationwide. Amici have been overwhelmed from concerned Muslim individuals, both citizens and non-citizens alike, who are justifiably worried about the EO–2's impact. Like the plaintiffs in the cases challenging the EO–2, Amici's members include Muslims and those from Muslim-majority countries forced to make difficult decisions on account of the Muslim Ban—whether to visit a terminally ill mother in Iran, whether to attend a conference abroad due to uncertainty about being permitted reentry into the U.S., whether to travel while one's wife is pregnant due to her anxiety that her partner could not return, and many other difficult considerations. In short, the reality simply does not match the government's assertion of a religiously neutral executive order.

1. The Muslim Ban has had a chilling effect on personal activities.

As intended, the Muslim Ban has prevented families from traveling to the United States from the six targeted countries, often with heartrending effect on families. For instance, a

bride's mother and sister were barred from attending a wedding in Baltimore because the U.S. consulate canceled their scheduled visa interviews after the first executive order was instituted, and refused to reschedule, even after the initial Muslim Ban was stayed.[17] Illustrating the compounding impact the Muslim Ban has had on individual families, the bride's sister-in-law (a green card holder) and her infant child were also visiting Iran and were temporarily barred from returning home to the United States when the initial Muslim Ban went into effect.[18]

Those already in the United States with families from countries affected by the Muslim Ban are unable to see their families due to fear the Muslim Ban will bar their reentry into the United States.[19] For example, couples based in

---

[17] Ed Pilkington, *Trump travel crackdown turns 'wedding celebration into a family separation,'* The Guardian (Apr. 14, 2017), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/apr/14/trump-travel-ban-visa-iran-wedding.

[18] *Id.*

[19] *See, e.g.*, Christina Capecchi & Mary Chapman, *Where the Immigration Ban Hits Home*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 31, 2017), http://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/30/us/immigration-ban-locations.html; Donald McNeil Jr., *Trump's travel ban, aimed at terrorists,*

the United States "with immigrant parents say they are hesitant about traveling to their familial homelands to celebrate [weddings]" because they fear that they may not be allowed back into the United States.[20]

The travel ban has resulted in families in the United States being separated from their grandparents, aunts, and uncles.[21] In the popular Instagram account "Banned Grandmas," people share pictures of their grandmothers with stories that include grandparents missing weddings and college and law school graduations, and being unable to receive medical treatment in the United States because of the travel ban.[22]

---

has blocked doctors, N.Y. Times (Feb. 6, 2017), https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/06/health/trump-travel-ban-doctors.html.

[20] Jack Healy & Anemona Hartocollis, *Love, interrupted: travel ban separates couples*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 9, 2017), http://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/08/us/love-interrupted-a-travel-ban-separates-couples.html.

[21] Ashley Hoffman, *Meet the people posting photos of "Banned Grandmas" to protest the travel ban*, TIME Magazine (July 6, 2017), http://time.com/4845841/travel-ban-banned-grandmas-social-media/.

[22] *Id.*, @BannedGrandmas, Instagram (July 2, 2017),

Religious activity has also been chilled. For example, the Islamic Society of Baltimore canceled its annual pilgrimage to Mecca "amid fears that Donald Trump's travel ban on certain Muslim-majority countries might bar re-entry even to those who call the United States their home."[23] Amici report that they are bracing for calls from travelers who continue to be impacted by the Muslim Ban during the annual Hajj pilgrimage.

2. The Muslim Ban has interfered with professional activities.

The EO–2 has deeply impacted the professional lives of American Muslims and those traveling to the United States to conduct business. Amici report members taking steps when they travel that they would not have considered before the Muslim Ban, such as carrying a United States

---

https://www.instagram.com/p/BWEJI3_HxGf/; @BannedGrandmas, Instagram (July 1, 2017), https://www.instagram.com/p/BWAvUJ_neD-/?hl=en&taken-by=bannedgrandmas; @BannedGrandmas, Instagram (June 30, 2017), https://www.instagram.com/p/BV-cFrin0U4/?taken-by=bannedgrandmas.

[23] Sabrina Siddiqui, *At mosque Obama visited, fear replaces hope as new Trump travel ban looms*, The Guardian (Mar. 14, 2017), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/mar/14/mosque-obama-visited-trump-travel-ban-muslim.

passport when traveling domestically for fear of having to provide documentation of citizenship.

The EO–2 has also directly affected Amici's professional endeavors. For example, the EO–2 has led to amicus MJL ratifying a practice of not allowing its staff to travel with electronics containing client information. MJL was concerned about how the profiling of Muslims at the border or airports could lead to its employees' devices containing confidential client information and privileged communications being searched by the government. This burdens MJL's limited time and resources, requiring MJL employees to make alternate arrangements and additional purchases to ensure that MJL staff can continue their vital work while traveling.

Moreover, multiple Amici have reported that even those with no ties to the targeted Muslim-majority countries have expressed concern about traveling outside of the country. For example, CAIR-CA was recently contacted by a U.S. citizen of Pakistani origin traveling to China for business. He was frightened that ancestry from a Muslim-majority country could bar or complicate his reentry into the United States.[24]

---

[24] *See also,* Jayashri Srikantiah, et al., *The new travel ban, national security, and immigration*, Stanford Law School Blogs (Feb. 1, 2017),

Further, this ban has greatly impacted highly skilled professionals who are working in the United States. There are over 7,000 physicians working in the U.S. who trained in the six countries listed in the EO–2.[25] There are also 80 medical students from those six countries, as well as 1,000 people who have applied for residencies and fellowships.[26] Many of these highly skilled professionals are considering leaving the country, and their loss would be devastating for the mostly rural,

---

https://law.stanford.edu/2017/02/01/the-new-travel-ban-national-security-and-immigration/ ("[T]here have been numerous reports of effects on individuals from countries that are not included in the current travel ban, such as Pakistan, possibly as the result of border agents treating the travel ban as a license to discriminate against other groups of travelers who are Muslim or perceived to be Muslim [thus affecting] immigrants beyond refugees and individuals from the seven countries.").

[25] Anna Maria Barry-Jester, *Trump's new travel ban could affect doctors, especially in the Rust Belt and Appalachia*, FiveThirtyEight (Mar. 6, 2017), https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/trumps-new-travel-ban-could-affect-doctors-especially-in-the-rust-belt-and-appalachia/

[26] *Id.*

underserved communities in which they practice.[27]

A physician in rural Minnesota found himself questioning why he should come to rural America to help people who think he's a "terrorist."[28] He said he considered moving to Dubai, and his brother, an investment advisor, is moving to Canada.[29]

3. The Muslim Ban has also impeded academic activities.

Students who have obtained admission to American universities have contacted Amici regarding difficulty in obtaining student visas. Many universities have seen a sharp drop in applications from Muslim-majority countries in the months leading up to the Muslim Ban, when then-candidate Trump repeatedly vowed

---

[27] *Id.*

[28] Stephanie McCrummen, *Love Thy Neighbor? When a Muslim doctor arrived in a rural Midwestern town, "it felt right." But that feeling began to change after the election of Donald Trump*, Wash. Post (July 1, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/in-a-midwestern-town-that-went-for-trump-a-muslim-doctor-tries-to-understand-his-neighbors/2017/07/01/0ada50c4-5c48-11e7-9fc6-c7ef4bc58d13_story.html?utm_term=.3577e33033a1.

[29] *Id.*

to ban Muslims from the United States.[30] Students from Muslim-majority countries studying in the United States have reported being "distracted from school ... waiting to read something that might change the situation, or at least make them comfortable."[31]

A leader of a college campus Muslim Student Association in California explained that "she was so worried about herself and her fellow students that she couldn't keep up with her studies," adding, "It really takes away your focus when your identity is being targeted."[32]

---

[30] *See* Susan Svuluga, *'They're afraid to come': University leaders worry Trump policies will deter international scholars*, Wash. Post (Mar. 24, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/grade-point/wp/2017/03/24/theyre-afraid-to-come-university-leaders-worry-trump-policies-will-deter-international-scholars/?utm_term=.3bb1753095d0.

[31] Stephanie Saul & Anemona Hartocollis, *After visa ban, hints of hidden tension on Mississippi campus*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 2, 2017), http://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/01/us/mississippi-state-trump-visa-ban.htl.

[32] Sammy Caiola, *Travel ban, targeting of mosques trigger mental health concerns among California Muslims*, Sacramento Bee (Feb. 22, 2017), http://www.sacbee.com/news/local/health-and-medicine/article134386439.html.

While attempting to travel abroad for school, a Muslim student (an American citizen) was given an ultimatum from airport security to "[show] proof that you're going to school or we can't let you go through."[33] Amici similarly can attest to instances of students on valid visas forgoing trips home to spend holidays with their family because they are concerned that their visas may be canceled, rendering years of work toward advanced degrees meaningless. One Libyan graduate student reported that he had not seen his family in three years—"If I want to see them, I will not be able to come back here, and if I want to stay here, I will not be able to see my family."[34]

Now that a new school year has begun, international university students, particularly Muslim students, have expressed fears that can

---

[33] Saima Fariz, *Torrance Islamic community shaken by travel ban*, Easy Reader News (Feb. 25, 2017), http://www.easyreadernews.com/146725/torrance-islamic-community-shaken-travel-ban/.

[34] Elizabeth Redden, *Fragile Status: Two students from Libya consider the executive order banning entry to the U.S. for them and their compatriots*, Inside Higher Ed (Feb. 1, 2017), https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2017/02/01/two-students-libya-consider-trumps-entry-ban.

be linked to the President's anti-immigration rhetoric in general and the Muslim Ban in particular.[35] A recent survey of universities reported a decline in 2017 admissions for international graduate students, with more than half of the universities responding that they noted declines in admission yield for students from the Middle East and North Africa.[36]

---

[35] *See* Roberta Pennington, *Immigration puts Middle Eastern students off studying in US*, The National (Aug. 16, 2017), https://www.thenational.ae/uae/immigration-puts-middle-eastern-students-off-studying-in-us-1.620323 (reporting 46 percent of institutions surveyed were told by Middle Eastern international students that securing and maintaining a visa were their biggest concerns, followed by "feeling welcome in the US [as] almost an equal concern" at 41 percent of institutions).

[36] Ellie Bothwell, *International students less likely to accept offers in Trump's US*, Times Higher Education (July 6, 2017), https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/international-students-less-likely-accept-offers-trumps-us.

B. The Muslim Ban promotes harmful stereotypes about Muslims.

Not only is the Muslim Ban premised on offensive and false stereotypes, it further perpetuates harm against Muslims across the country by broadly typecasting them and those from Muslim-majority countries as threats to national safety. *IRAP*, 857 F. 3d at 584-585 (citing *Moss* v. *Spartanburg Cty. Sch. Dist. Seven*, 683 F. 3d 599, 607 (CA4 2012)) (noting "flowing from EO-2 is the alleged state-sanctioned message that foreign-born Muslims ... are 'outsiders, not full members of the political community,'" thus evoking feelings of disparagement and exclusion and fear for personal safety). While campaigning, then-candidate Trump repeatedly invoked offensive stereotypes in calling for a ban to prevent Muslims from entering the United States,[37] a required registry of Muslims in the United States,[38] and the consideration of shutting

---

[37] *Donald J. Trump Statement on Preventing Muslim Immigration*, Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. (Dec. 7, 2015), https://www.donaldjtrump.com/press-releases/donald-j.-trump-statement-on-preventing-muslim-immigration.

[38] Mona Chalabi, *Support for Trump travel ban in line with anti-Muslim attitudes in America*, The Guardian (Feb. 2, 2017),

down mosques as a purported strategy to fight terrorists.[39]

In candidate Trump's press release calling for "a total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States," he claimed "large segments of the Muslim population" harbored "great hatred towards Americans" and further justified a Muslim Ban by claiming it would protect the country from becoming "the victims of horrendous attacks by people that believe only in Jihad, and have no sense of reason or respect for human life."[40] Candidate Trump further insinuated that a majority of Muslims believed that "murder against non-believers who won't convert, beheadings and more unthinkable acts that pose great harm to Americans, especially women" should become authorized in the United States.[41]

---

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/feb/02/polls-widespread-backing-trump-travel-ban.

[39] Alan Rappeport, *Donald Trump repeats call to inspect mosques for signs of terrorism*, N.Y. Times (Nov. 16, 2015), https://www.nytimes.com/politics/first-draft/2015/11/16/donald-trump-repeats-call-to-inspect-mosques-for-signs-of-terrorism/.

[40] *Donald J. Trump Statement on Preventing Muslim Immigration, supra.*

[41] *Id.*

It is therefore no surprise that the EO–2 is interpreted "by civil rights organizations and in other Muslim communities across the country [] as a ban on Muslims and, more broadly, as a statement that Muslims are not welcome in the United States."[42] Indeed, the EO–2's text adopts the rhetoric of President Trump's campaign by alleging that "to protect Americans, the United States must ensure that those admitted to this country do not bear

---

[42] Abigail Hauslohner, *Imam: There's an atmosphere of intolerance that says, 'That's okay, that's acceptable now,'* Wash. Post (Mar. 10, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/imam-theres-a-climate-of-hate-that-says-thats-okay-thats-acceptable-now/2017/03/09/127f4fd0-0434-11e7-ad5b-d22680e18d10_story.html?utm_term=.76d9792b8d12; *see also* Tracey Wilinson, *Iranian Americans join human rights groups in protesting new ban*, L.A. Times (Mar. 6, 2017), http://www.latimes.com/politics/la-live-updates-9th-circuit-arguments-iranian-americans-others-protest-new-1488825822-htmlstory.html ("Margaret Huang, executive director of the U.S. branch of Amnesty International, said the [revised] order represented 'the same hate and fear with new packaging' and 'blatant bigotry.' 'It will cause extreme fear and uncertainty for thousands of families by, once again, putting anti-Muslim hatred into policy,' she said, 'and will do nothing to make the country safer.'").

hostile attitudes toward it and its founding principles," and further mandating the collection and publication of information regarding foreign nationals that have been radicalized and have committed either terrorism-related crimes or acts of gender-based violence, "including so-called 'honor killings.'"[43] This particular provision is a "shaming" and "dehumanizing device"

---

[43] Donald J. Trump, *Executive Order Protecting The Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into The United States*, The White House Office of the Press Secretary (Mar. 6, 2017), https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/03/06/executive-order-protecting-nation-foreign-terrorist-entry-united-states; *see also* Nora Caplan-Bricker, *Donald Trump plans to track "honor killings" even as he slashes violence against women grants*, Slate (Jan. 25, 2017), http://www.slate.com/blogs/xx_factor/2017/01/25/donald_trump_s_immigration_order_will_track_violence_against_women_by_foreign.html ("When Trump suggests that Muslims 'believe that sharia law should supplant American law' and cannot 'share our values and respect our people,' he appeals to ugly prejudices that paint Muslim men as inherently violent and Muslim women as servile and oppressed. Now, he's vowing to use the reach and resources of the federal government to amass stories that will bolster those stereotypes, boosting his agenda in the process.").

seemingly "designed to whip up fear of Muslims" and perpetuate the "damaging stereotype of Muslims as terrorists."[44]

Thus, the EO–2 rests on, and serves to bolster, these harmful and blatantly offensive stereotypes.[45] Like the stereotypes perpetuated

---

[44] Matt Zapotosky, *Federal judge in Hawaii freezes President Trump's new entry ban*, Wash. Post (Mar. 16, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/social-issues/lawyers-face-off-on-trump-travel-ban-in-md-court-wednesday-morning/2017/03/14/b2d24636-090c-11e7-93dc-00f9bdd74ed1_story.html?utm_term=.0f8cb56a7ede; *see also* David Nakamura, *Blame game: Trump casts immigrants as dangerous criminals, but the evidence shows otherwise*, Wash. Post (Mar. 24, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/blame-game-trump-casts-immigrants-as-dangerous-criminals-the-evidence-shows-otherwise/2017/03/23/f12dffdc-0f4d-11e7-9d5a-a83e627dc120_story.html?utm_term=.f059ae1aa26e ("Although his two travel-ban orders have been blocked in federal court, the most recent one included a provision mandating that the government begin publicizing information about acts of 'gender-based violence against women,' including 'honor killings,' in the United States by foreign nationals.").

[45] *See* Khaled Beydoun, *Being a Muslim under Trump is risky. That's why many are hiding their identity*, The Guardian (Mar. 30, 2017),

during the President's campaign, the stereotypes advanced by the EO–2 depict "Islam [as] an inherently violent and foreign faith, and Muslims [as] a presumptively subversive and inassimilable class of people,"[46] and further "send[s] a message that Muslims are not welcome in the U.S."[47] Many Muslims are receiving this message not only from the country's highest office, but from their neighbors as well, putting some in the harmful position of "religious advocacy and outreach" to combat the EO–2's "pernicious effects."[48] For example, one Minneapolis physician described having to respond to these stereotypes after a patient asked, "Why do you people hate us?" in the weeks following the Muslim Ban.[49]

---

https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/mar/30/being-muslim-under-trump-risky-many-hiding-identity ("The stereotypes … are deeply rooted, and readily repackaged and redeployed by Trump's 'Muslim Ban' and rhetoric holding that 'Islam hates us.'").

[46] *Id.*

[47] Human Rights Watch, *US: Trump's new refugee order renews old harms* (Mar. 6, 2017), https://www.hrw.org/news/2017/03/06/us-trumps-new-refugee-order-renews-old-harms.

[48] *Sarsour*, 2017 WL 1113305, *5.

[49] McNeil, *supra*, *Trump's travel ban, aimed at terrorists, has blocked doctors.*

The youngest targets of these abhorrent stereotypes have not been spared. Since the EO–2's signing, Muslim parents have been burdened with explaining to their children why their faith has been vilified in official U.S. policy. One Baltimore mother described finding her 10-year-old daughter crying when she went to pick her up from school. Her daughter explained that a friend told her that she "wasn't allowed to be friends with people who wear those things on their heads."[50] "Kids," a Pennsylvania parent explained, "don't understand the difference between a green card or a citizen or a visa—but they know that Islam is mentioned all the time, and they want to know why the president is singling out Islam—are we different? Is there something wrong with us?"[51] In short, "the new ban, and its justification, conveys the same spurious messages: that Muslims are inherently dangerous."[52] These stereotypes foster the

---

[50] Siddiqui, *supra*, *At mosque Obama visited, fear replaces hope as new Trump travel ban looms.*

[51] Neil Munshi, *Muslim Americans express anxiety over Trump travel ban*, Financial Times (Feb. 2, 2017), https://www.ft.com/content/ba9f2d88-e905-11e6-893c-082c54a7f539.

[52] The Editorial Board, *Muslim ban lite*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 7, 2017),

stigmatization of the Muslim communities, increase discrimination, and effectively prevent Muslims and persons from Muslim-majority countries from fully and freely participating in American society.

C. In targeting Muslims, the Muslim Ban has caused psychological—and arguably physical—harm.

Muslims across the country have also suffered psychological harm and distress as a result of the Muslim Ban. Public health specialists warn that the Muslim Ban could result in mental health harms, as those targeted may "experience social isolation and alienation from their community."[53] From "growing anxiety,"[54] "fear,"[55] and feeling "terrified,"[56] to describing

---

http://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/06/opinion/president-trumps-muslim-ban-lite.html.

[53] Lawrence Gostin, et al., *Presidential immigration policies endangering health and well-being?* JAMA (Mar. 23, 2017), http://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2613724 ("[L]awful residents such as Muslims could be adversely affected, experiencing social isolation and alienation from their community.").

[54] Fariz, *supra*, *Torrance Islamic community shaken by travel ban.*

[55] *Id.*

the ban as "traumatizing,"[57] "increas[ing] stigmatization of Muslim communities,"[58] and leaving one "feeling hunted [], as if you did something wrong, even if you didn't,"[59] the psychological harms of the Muslim Ban have been concrete and indisputable.

Worse still, the dangerous stereotypes fostered by the Muslim Ban have also led to physical violence against its targets.[60] In

---

[56] Capecchi, *supra, Where the Immigration Ban Hits Home.*

[57] *Id.*

[58] United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner, *US travel ban: "New policy breaches Washington's human rights obligations" – UN experts* (Feb. 1, 2017), http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=21136&LangID=E ("'Such an order is clearly discriminatory based on one's nationality and leads to increased stigmatization of Muslim communities,' said the UN Special Rapporteurs on migrants, François Crépeau; on racism, Mutuma Ruteere; on human rights and counter-terrorism, Ben Emmerson; on torture, Nils Melzer; and on freedom of religion, Ahmed Shaheed.").

[59] McNeil, *supra, Trump's travel ban, aimed at terrorists, has blocked doctors.*

[60] *See* Siddiqui, *supra, At mosque Obama visited, fear replaces hope as new Trump travel ban looms* ("'When you talk about the policies

February 2017, a gunman in Kansas shot two Indian men, killing one and injuring the other.[61] Before opening fire, he allegedly used racial slurs indicating that he thought the men were Middle Eastern and shouted, "Get out of my country."[62] In May 2017, two men were killed and a third was violently injured in Oregon when they tried to intervene in a verbal attack against a Muslim teen and her African American friend.[63] At one point the attacker

---

being harmful, that's one thing,' said Ahmed Mahmoud, a native of Maryland who attends prayer services at the Islamic Society of Baltimore. 'But the discourse that they use to justify and facilitate the creation of [Trump's] policies—that in and of itself has been harmful and you see that manifesting in the increase in hate crimes, targeting especially not just Muslims but anybody who shares the physical traits of Muslims.'").

[61] Mark Berman, *He yelled 'Get out of my country,' witnesses say, and then shot 2 men from India, killing one*, Wash. Post (Feb. 24, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2017/02/24/get-out-of-my-country-kansan-reportedly-yelled-before-shooting-2-men-from-india-killing-one/?utm_term=.6c3c7c2a1ef9.

[62] *Id.*

[63] Maxine Bernstein, *MAX attack unfolded quickly: extremist cut three in neck, police say*,

allegedly stated that "Muslims should die."[64] In August 2017, an improvised explosive device was used to bomb a mosque in Minneapolis.[65] According to CAIR's figures, the tally of anti-Islamic incidents at mosques during the first six months of 2017 was already greater than the total number of incidents in any year between 2009 and 2015.[66]

Unfortunately, in the midst of increasing anti-Muslim rhetoric, such violent attacks have not been isolated incidents. Following the presidential election and the implementation of the Muslim Ban, "attacks on conspicuous

---

The Oregonian/OregonLive (Jun. 2, 2017), http://www.oregonlive.com/portland/index.ssf/2017/05/horrific_scene_unfolds_on_max.html#incart_river_index#incart_big-photo.

[64] *Id.*

[65] Kurtis Lee, *'There is too much anger out there.' Bombing of a Minnesota mosque leaves Muslims concerned*, L.A. Times (Aug. 5, 2017), http://www.latimes.com/nation/la-na-mosque-bombing-20170805-story.html.

[66] Christopher Ingraham, *American mosques—and American Muslims—are being targeted for hate like never before*, Wash. Post. (Aug. 8, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2017/08/08/american-mosques-and-american-muslims-are-being-targeted-for-hate-like-never-before/?utm_term=.b066b29d76a0.

Muslim expression were hardly confined to one part of the country, or in rural instead of urban centers."[67] Many American Muslims say they feel an atmosphere in which some feel like they can voice prejudices or attack Muslims without fear of retribution.[68]

---

[67] Khaled Beydoun, *Acting Muslim*, 53 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev., at 39 (forthcoming 2017), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2926162; *see also* Abigail Hauslohner, *supra*, *Imam: There's an atmosphere of intolerance that says, 'That's okay, that's acceptable now'* ("Law enforcement officials in Texas and Florida are investigating fires at three mosques, at least two of which have been ruled arson. Last month in Kansas, a white man shouting 'Get out of my country' shot dead an Indian engineer, who he apparently believed to be from the Middle East. Near Seattle this month, a masked assailant wounded a Sikh man—a member of an Indian religious minority who are sometimes confused for Muslims because the men wear turbans—after shouting at him to 'go back to your country,' and authorities are investigating it as a hate crime. Police in South Carolina are investigating the shooting death of an Indian man there the day before.").

[68] Mahmoud Mourad, Stephen Kalin, *Muslims at haj are worried about Trump's policies towards them*, Reuters (Sept. 2, 2017), http://www.reuters.com/article/us-saudi-haj-trump/muslims-at-haj-are-worried-about-

II. Despite attempts to sanitize its text, the clear intent of the Muslim Ban is to disfavor and burden Muslims.

Though the Ban's text may not explicitly mention the targeting of Muslims, the EO–2's application makes clear that that is its effect, and statements from President Trump and his senior advisor, Stephen Miller, reveal that this is by design. After signing the revised Muslim Ban, President Trump referred to it as merely a "watered-down version" of the original executive order and suggested that "we ought to go back to the first one and go all the way," adding that "the need for my executive order is clear."[69] Miller likewise referred to the distinctions between the first and second executive orders as "mostly minor, technical differences," reiterating, "[f]undamentally, you are still going to have the same, basic policy outcome for the country."[70]

---

trumps-policies-towards-them-idUSKCN1BD0N4?il=0.

[69] Zapotosky, *supra*, *Federal judge in Hawaii freezes President Trump's new entry ban.*

[70] Jamiles Lartey, *"Not about religion": how Trump officials have attempted a travel ban rebrand,* The Guardian (Mar. 15, 2017), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/mar/15/trump-administration-travel-ban-muslim-religion.

Notably, President Trump expressed regret for revising the Muslim Ban in light of successful court challenges[71] and continued to portray Muslim-majority countries subject to the Ban as "suspect" and the people as "SO DANGEROUS!"[72]

In fact, President Trump said the "travel ban" was for "certain DANGEROUS countries, not some politically correct term that won't help us protect our people!"[73] and requested that the Justice Department "seek [a] much tougher version."[74]

These statements highlight the Administration's continued commitment, under the second Muslim Ban, to exclude people from the United States on the basis of their religious identity, thereby causing irreparable harm to

---

[71] Zapotosky, *supra*, *Federal judge in Hawaii freezes President Trump's new entry ban.*

[72] Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Feb. 11, 2017, 4:12 AM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/830389130311921667.

[73] Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (June 5, 2017, 6:20 PM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/871899511525961728.

[74] Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (June 5, 2017, 3:37 AM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/871677472202477568.

Muslims across the country. These statements cannot be dismissed as campaign rhetoric; these are statements made or endorsed by the President to explain the reasoning behind, and support the continuance of, his Muslim Ban.

III. The Muslim community may still face impermissibly invasive interrogations at the border even after the Muslim Ban portion of the EO–2 is lifted.

The EO–2 suspends travel into the United States by citizens of six Muslim-majority countries pending the implementation of "maximum" vetting of these travelers.[75] Also called "extreme vetting" during the campaign, President Trump likened it to an "ideological screening test" for immigrants.[76] This

---

[75] *See Executive Order Protecting The Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into The United States, supra.*

[76] *See* John Santucci & Veronica Stracqualursi, *Donald Trump proposes 'extreme vetting' for immigrants, with ideological screening test*, ABC News (Aug. 15, 2016) http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/donald-trump-proposes-extreme-vetting-immigrants-ideological-screening/story?id=41392682; Daniel White, *Read Donald Trump's Ohio Speech on Immigration and Terrorism*, TIME Magazine (Aug. 15, 2016), http://time.com/4453110/donald-trump-

announcement immediately raised "significant legal, policy and practical concerns,"[77] heightened by the EO–2 and State Department instructions regarding targeted scrutiny and social media inspections of visa applicants.[78]

Such widespread fears are supported by reports of Muslims being denied entry into the United States at the Canadian border after lengthy detentions and interrogations regarding their religious beliefs. One Moroccan-born Canadian Muslim described being able to enter the United States to visit her parents and brother, who live in the U.S., numerous times without incident before the Muslim Ban.[79] Yet

---

national-security-immigration-terrorism-speech/.

[77] David Catanese, *Trump promises 'extreme vetting' in terror speech*, Inside Higher Ed (Aug. 15, 2016), https://www.usnews.com/news/articles/2016-08-15/trump-promises-extreme-vetting-in-terror-speech.

[78] Michael Shear, *Trump Administration Orders Tougher Screening of Visa Applicants*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 23, 2017), https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/23/us/politics/visa-extreme-vetting-rex-tillerson.html.

[79] Steve Rukavina, *Canadian woman turned away from U.S. border after questions about religion, Trump*, CBC News (Feb. 10, 2017), http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/montreal/canadi

shortly after the Muslim Ban was implemented—and while a nationwide injunction halting the travel restrictions of the original executive order was in place—she and her cousin were stopped, endured an hour-long search through their phones, and were questioned about their religious practices for 45 minutes, including where and how often she goes to mosque, and about her thoughts on the Muslim Ban. After another hour-long wait, she was denied entry into the United States. She felt "humiliated" and was "treated as if [she] was less than nothing."[80]

These are not isolated stories. Muslims and those from Muslim-majority countries are increasingly subjected to lengthy, invasive, and impermissible religion-based questioning and searches at points of entry, including demands for passwords to cell phones and social media accounts, hours-long searches, and detailed interrogations regarding religious identity, affiliations, and practices. Over two dozen human rights and civil liberties groups recently detailed (in an open letter to United Nations experts) such targeted treatment of Muslim travelers as clear injury in the form of "violation[s] of human rights ... including the

---

an-woman-turned-away-from-u-s-border-after-questions-about-religion-trump-1.3972019.

[80] *Id.*

fundamental rights to privacy, the freedom of opinion, expression, religion, belief, movement, and association," and "the principle of non-discrimination and right to equal protection."[81]

Amici have also witnessed a spike in concerns about privacy and freedom of speech, with many Muslim community members inclined to self-censor political speech and avoid certain communication platforms entirely due to fears the "extreme vetting" provisions of the Muslim Ban could lead law enforcement to maintain records of their political or religious views and other personal, First Amendment–protected information. Many have reached out to Amici to request assistance in preparing G-28 forms ("Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative") in anticipation of targeted harassment at airports and other points of entry due to their religious identity.

Further, these tactics targeting Muslim-majority countries appear to be working. An analysis of data provided by the State Department shows that as of April 2017, the number of non-immigrant visas granted to

---

[81] *Letter from Access Now to Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein, High Commissioner for Human Rights, et al.* (Feb. 16, 2017), https://www.accessnow.org/cms/assets/uploads/2017/02/JointLetterUSBorderSearches-Feb17.pdf.

visitors from nearly 50 Muslim-majority countries has fallen almost 20 percent from the previous year, while the number of non-immigrant visas for the six countries targeted by the Muslim Ban has been cut in half—falling 55 percent.[82] Such drastic reductions—even in the midst of court and public attention—highlight the importance of careful scrutiny of the motives of the EO–2.

IV. The EO–2's focus on Muslims and Muslim-majority countries is divorced from evidence, ill-conceived, and ill-advised.

In stark contrast to the Administration's claims, reports clearly demonstrate that Muslims, especially Muslim immigrants, pose an infinitesimal threat to national security.[83]

---

[82] Nahal Toosi & Ted Hesson, *Visas to Muslim-majority countries down 20 percent*, Politico (May 25, 2017), http://www.politico.com/story/2017/05/25/trump-muslim-visas-238846.

[83] Numerically, Muslim immigrants constitute a very small portion of the American population. The Pew Research Center estimates that there were about 3.3 million Muslims living in the United States in 2015—approximately 1% of the total population. Approximately 10% of all immigrants are Muslim, and approximately half of all Muslims in the United States immigrated in the past

For example, an assessment of the initial executive order by the Department of Homeland Security reported that the targeted Muslim-majority countries were "rarely implicated" in U.S.-based terrorism and that citizenship (including citizenship from a Muslim-majority country) is an unreliable indication of a terrorist threat.[84]

---

25 years. Thus, recent immigrants make up approximately 0.5% of the total population. Pew Research Center, *A new estimate of the U.S. Muslim population* (Jan. 6, 2016), http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/01/06/a-new-estimate-of-the-u-s-muslim-population/; Pew Research Center, *The religious affiliation of U.S. immigrants: majority Christian, rising share of other faiths* (May 17, 2013), http://www.pewforum.org/2013/05/17/the-religious-affiliation-of-us-immigrants/#muslim.

[84] Zapotosky, *Revised executive order bans travelers from six Muslim-majority countries from getting new visas*, Wash. Post (Mar. 6, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/new-executive-order-bans-travelers-from-six-muslim-majority-countries-applying-for-visas/2017/03/06/3012a42a-0277-11e7-ad5b-d22680e18d10_story.html?utm_term=.f4a41594a2f8 ("A Department of Homeland Security report assessing the terrorist threat posed by people from the seven countries covered by the

Likewise, the Cato Institute determined that the odds of an American perishing in a terrorist attack committed by a foreigner on U.S. soil over the past 41 years (including the attacks of September 11) was 1 in 3.6 million per year, or a 0.00003% chance.[85] Since 2001, only 365 individuals have been charged with or died engaging in terrorism or related activities inside the United States.[86] The risk is even smaller from immigrants from the Muslim-majority nations listed in the EO–2.[87] The EO–

---

president's original travel ban had cast doubt on the necessity of the executive order, concluding that citizenship was an 'unreliable' threat indicator and that people from the affected countries had rarely been implicated in U.S.-based terrorism.").

[85] Alex Nowrasteh, *Terrorism and Immigration: a risk analysis*, CATO at Liberty (Sept. 13, 2016) https://object.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/pubs/pdf/pa798_1_1.pdf.

[86] *See* Peter Bergen et al., *Terrorism in America after 9/11*, New America Foundation, www.newamerica.org/in-depth/terrorism-in-america.

[87] Alex Nowrasteh, *Little national security benefit to Trump's Executive Order on immigration*, CATO at Liberty (Jan. 25, 2017), https://www.cato.org/blog/little-national-

2's insistence on reporting crimes committed by foreign nationals, including "honor killings," is likewise misplaced, as such crimes are exceedingly rare.[88]

Moreover, despite the fact that the Administration justified the Muslim Ban by claiming it would allow government agencies to conduct a comprehensive review of immigration procedures, there have been no formal policy changes.

Multiple lawmakers have commented that the Administration has had well over the 90 days it sought to "review vetting procedures."[89] But the Administration has never completed its vetting review or even created the "appearance

---

security-benefit-trumps-executive-order-immigration.

[88] Cynthia Helba, et al., *Report on exploratory study into honor violence measurement methods*, Justice Dep't (May 2015), https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bjs/grants/248879.pdf (estimating between 23 and 27 honor killings annually; i.e., approximately 0.008 offenses per 100,000 persons).

[89] Paige Winfield Cunningham, *New opposition emerges as Trump pushes for travel ban*, Chicago Tribune (Jun. 4, 2017), http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/nationworld/politics/ct-trump-travel-ban-20170604-story.html.

of effort towards stronger vetting."[90] Further, the Administration has not sought to fast-track any of the hearings, in either the appeals court or before the Supreme Court, undercutting the argument for the urgency of the executive order.[91]

All told, the EO–2 does nothing to make the United States safer.[92] Instead, the EO–2 traffics in prejudicial stereotypes, contributes to a climate of distrust toward the Muslim community, and has further stoked fears in the Muslim community that "the Trump Administration would scrutinize their religious identity with an unprecedented degree of suspicion and heavy-handed policy."[93]

---

[90] *Id.*; Michael Shear & Ron Nixon, *Despite Trump's tough talk on travel ban, few changes to vetting*, N.Y. Times (June 11, 2017), https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/11/us/politics/as-trump-sounds-urgent-note-on-travel-ban-a-vetting-revamp-grinds-on.html.

[91] *Id.*

[92] Alejandro Beutel, *Data on Post-9/11 Terrorism in the United States*, Muslim Public Affairs Council (June 2012), http://www.mpac.org/assets/docs/publications/MPAC-Post-911-Terrorism-Data.pdf.

[93] Beydoun, *Acting Muslim*, *supra* at n. 48.

CONCLUSION

This Court should affirm the opinions of the Fourth and Ninth Circuits.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN G. SHATZ
Counsel of Record
AMY B. BRIGGS, JOHN W. MCGUINNESS,
SIRENA P. CASTILLO, MATTHEW BOTTOMLY,
OLUFUNMILAYO SHOWOLE, KETAKEE KANE

Counsel for Amici
Muslim Justice League; Muslim Public Affairs Council; and Council on American-Islamic Relations, California